Ranking & Recommendation for Renewal Projects:

Permanent Supportive Housing (PH), Transitional Housing (TH) & Supportive Services (SSO)

Review and Ranking Proposal for the CoC application

Tier 1

			Current	Proposed
DePaul - MORE	PH	100	304,904	289,659
Doorways - Maryland	PH	92.6	678,586	644,657
Doorways - Jumpstart	PH	88.9	253,672	240,988
DePaul - PLUS	PH	85.2	425,599	404,319
Doorways - Delmar	PH	81.5	107,221	101,860
St. Patrick Ctr- Project Protect	PH	77.8	460,603	437,573
DMH SZB Chronic	PH	77.8	348,136	330,729
Employment Connection	PH	76	187,511	178,135
Places for People	PH	74.1	223,309	212,144
DMH QoP SCL TRA	PH	74.1	1,614,883	1,534,139
DMH SPC SCQ	PH	70.4	559,840	531,848
DMH Chronic SYC TRA	PH	70.4	412,364	391,746
St. Patrick - Rosati	PH	66.7	555,383	527,614
DMH QoP SZC TRA	PH	66.7	195,071	185,317
DMH Chron. QoP Families SCS SRA	PH	55.6	735,990	699,191
Humanitri-Transitional	TH	87	204,407	163,526
YWCA	TH	86	78,092	62,474
Hope House	TH	78.3	781,272	626,272
Covenant House	TH	69.7	266,430	213,144
Queen of Peace	TH	56.5	610,984	488,787
St. Patrick's Employment	SSO	73.3	310,526	248,413
HMIS	HMIS	NEW	100,000	100,000
The Bridge	SSO	NEW	150,000	150,000
				8,762,535

Tier 2

Rapid ReHousing-St Patrick Ctr	PH	NEW	857,781	857,781	recommendation to partner with ARC Defend
Rapid ReHousing-Gateway180	PSH	NEW	200,900	200,900	
New PSH Project-St. Patrick Ctr.	PSH	NEW	488,400	488,400	
				1,547,081	

ARD= 10,309,830

85% = 8,763,355

15%= 1,546,474

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	DEPAUL USA
Project Name	Project More
Grant Number	MO0114L7E011406
Grant Start Date	May 1st 2015
Contact Person	Gretchen Shipp
Phone/Email	gretchen.shipp@depaulusa.org

II. Project Summary

1. Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection.

   Project MORE is a permanent supportive housing program in its 9th year of operation. We support 35 homeless, disabled men in one bedroom, scattered-site apartments. We outreach and focus on housing the chronic, disabled homeless male who has done poorly in the traditional care system and has additional functional limitations that make it hard for him to find and keep housing. 90% of the clients served meet the HUD standard for chronic homelessness, which is also a priority population for our community. We also outreach directly with the Homeless Veterans program when we have openings to see if they have any veterans who are eligible for our program as homeless veterans are also a priority population in our community. 100% of clients served are diagnosed with a major mental illness; about 85% or more of the clients served have a co-occurring disorder of drug/alcohol dependency; while another 50% plus have additional disorders of chronic health issues, developmental delays and/or HIV. We are a Housing First program using a low demand model and, as such, house people directly from homelessness into housing. We then offer wraparound support services to them in their housing. We develop an individualized treatment plan with each client to begin to address their concerns, set goals and start the path to stability that will eventually lead them to permanent housing.

   We enter and discharge all clients and their information into the HMIS system and update information on a yearly basis and/or as things change with their situation that requires an update. We also use the HMIS system to write case notes and track visits.

   We will begin to take all of our referrals for openings directly from our community's coordinated assessment process as it gets up and running. Clients with highest acuity and who fit the PSH standards of housing will be referred to our program. As we have an opening, we will go to the housing wait list and take the next person in line eligible for permanent supportive housing.

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design.

   There have not been any significant changes to our program over the past few years. However, this year we are requesting to decrease our clients served from 35 to 30 due to budget constraints, mainly due to an increase in housing rents over the past 9 years. We anticipate being able to serve over 30 clients at any given time as our leasing budget allows and to ensure that we spend all of our leasing dollars. We have been spending out all of our leasing budget plus additional dollars in order to keep 35 clients housed. We want to remain fiscally viable and operate a successful, quality program, so we are asking to serve a minimum of 30 plus clients at any given time.

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet.

   Yes- We filed a grant amendment proposal for the change stated above. HUD has not made a decision on it, but we are anticipating a decision in September 2015. I am attaching it with this report as requested.

1

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	Yes
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	Yes
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	Yes
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

V. Budget

1. Do you expect that all funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? If not, what amount will not be spent and why. *We anticipate that all HUD funds will be expended. We spent every penny last year, and we plan to do the same this year.*

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project? *We do not anticipate having any money available to be reallocated towards a new project.*

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Assessment of Services Needs		
Assistance with Moving Costs		
Case Management	(1) Coordinator 0.25 FTE ($58,300 sal+ben)	$12,500
Child Care		
Education Services		
Employment Assistance		
Food	Client grocery assistance	$2,827
Housing/Counseling Services		
Legal Services		
Life Skills	(1) Case manager 1 FTE ($51,000 sal+ben)	$34,334
Mental Health Services		
Outpatient Health Services		
Outreach Services		
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		
Transportation	Client bus tickets; staff mileage	$8,673
Utility Deposits		
Total Services Assistance Requested		$58,334

OPERATING BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Maintenance/Repair	(1) Coordinator 0.75 FTE ($64,899 sal +ben)	$38,331
Property Taxes & Insurance		

Replacment Reserve		
Building Security		
Electricity, Gas & Water		
Furniture		
Equipment (lease, buy)		
	Total Operating Assistance Requested	**$38,331**

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET

Size of Units	# of Units		FMR		# of Months		Total Budget
SRO		X		X	12	=	
0 Bedroom		X		X	12	=	
1 Bedroom	35	X	633	X	12	=	$265,860
2 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=	
3 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=	
4 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=	
5 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=	
6+ Bedrooms		X		X	12	=	
Total	35					=	$265,860

- Our leasing budget is only $189,000 which is why we have requested to decrease clients served to a minimum of 30 at any given time and to serve additional clients as our leasing budget allows to ensure that we spend down all of the Leasing dollars allotted to us
- Additionally, given the large discrepancy between $265,860 and our contracted leasing budget of $189,000- if there is money to be reallocated from other projects – we could use a little increase in our leasing budget-

SUMMARY BUDGET

Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Requested	Match specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units	$189,000		$189,000
Leased Structures	0		0
Housing Relocation and Stabilization			
Short-term/Medium Term Assistance			
Long-term Rental Assistance			
Supportive Services	$58,334		$58,334
Operating	$38,331		$38,331
HMIS	0		0
Sub-Total Requested			
Admin (up to 7%)			$19,239
Total plus Admin Requested			
Sub-Total Cash Match			
Sub-total In-Kind Match			
TOTAL MATCH			$29,976
TOTAL BUDGET			$333,880

Match requirements – 25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

VI. Performance

The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported.

1. Complete the column for households expected to be served and number who will exit during the 2015 CoC grant term

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	CoC 2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	38	38	40
Total Number of households who exited/will exit	3	3	5

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	66%	66%	47%
Housing Stability	100%	100%	98%
Cash Income – Stayers	94%	94%	70%
Non-Cash Benefits – Stayers	94%	94%	73%
Return to Shelter	0%	0%	17*

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:

- a variance between the HMIS generated reports and the agency provided reports and what steps are being taken to assure HMIS data completeness and accuracy for 2016.

- below the system target for our CoC

Complete and return electronically to Rich LaPlume
Chair of the Rank and Review Committee
rich.laplume@depaulusa.org by (August 12, 2015 by 12:00pm)

4

	Project More	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
1	Leavers destination was Permanent Housing- Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	2/3 66%	same	10 points
2	Leavers income- Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	3/3 100%	same	10 points
2A	Stayes Income	33/35 94%	same	10 points
3	Leavers have increased Non-cash- Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	3/3 100%	same	10 points
3A	Stayers have increased Non-cash	33/35 94%	same	10 points
4	Stable housing 6+ months being housed 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	35/35 100%	same	10 points
5	Has returned to shelter- Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	0%	same	10 points
6	HMIS Data Performance-	100%	same	5 points no missing data
7	Active in COC- 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.		Yes-	10 points both- Planning Committee Chair
8	Utilization Rate/Occupancy- 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%	35/35 100%	same	10 points
9	Organzational Strength- 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers	10 pts	10 pts-	administrating the grant since the bgininng Coordinator =25 + years; CSW=25 plus years 10 points
10	Budget- 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero	10 pts		10 points all $ spent
	Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books	0%	same	10 points
	Total	135		

PROPOSED GRANT AMENDMENT- PROJECT MORE

REQUEST FOR AMENDMENT TO PROJECT #MO0114L7E011406

1. Project MORE would like to use the FMR as its rental cap for leasing a unit as stated in the CoC Interim Rule Program legislation for leasing programs.

   ### Background and justification for request:
   When Project MORE was initially approved in 2007 under the SHP program through the City of St. Louis CoC, it was written with a rental cap of $500 per unit for a one bedroom apartment. Our leasing budget was based on 30 units with the rent being capped off at $500 per unit. We just started our 9th year of operation and have found that over the past 8 years, rents have increased in the City of St. Louis. It is much harder to find a safe, decent and habitable unit for around $425 to $450, which was fairly common in 2007. Today, rents in 2015 are more commonly running around $480 to $550 for a safe, decent and habitable unit. As a result, we are not only using our entire allotted leasing budget, but we are also using excess dollars to cover leasing costs. Additionally, we are paying monthly rents in excess of $500 in order to ensure that our clients are in safe, decent and habitable units of their liking. This excess cost is taken on completely by our agency.

   With the passing of the Hearth legislation, our program switched from a SHP to a PSH-leasing program under the CoC Interim Program Rule. Leasing programs under this legislation set their rents using the FMR as determined by their local Housing Authorities. In order for our program to be able to keep up with the housing market and to be able to rent units that are decent and safe for our clients, we are requesting that our program be given permission to operate under the same standards as all the other permanent supportive housing programs under this legislation. We are requesting that we no longer be held to the $500 rental cap as set in our original grant from 2007 and still exists today. We are asking that we be allowed to rent units for our clients using the FMR. We are not requesting any increase in leasing dollars.

2. Project MORE requests to reduce clients served from 35 to 30 single, homeless disabled males as clients move on from the program over the course of this grant year for its permanent supportive housing program (Project MORE) that was renewed and started this past May 1st, 2015 and ends April 30th, 2016- project #MO0114L7E011406.

Background and justification for request:

Project MORE was initially approved to serve 30 single, homeless, disabled males starting in May of 2007. After operating the grant for two years, we noticed that we had excess leasing dollars that could be used to house more clients. As a result, in 2009, we requested to increase our clients served to 35. We have been housing 35 clients since 2009. However, with the increase in rental costs and change from SHP to PSH leasing program under the CoC Interim Program Rule, our leasing budget no longer covers the leasing costs of housing 35 clients without a financial burden to the agency.

As stated above, the rental costs in the city have increased since we started our program in 2007. Last grant year, we spent our entire leasing budget and several thousand in excess to cover the leasing costs of our clients. As requested in #1, it is our hope to use the FMR as our rental cap. This will allow us to keep up with the increases in the rental market and allow us to rent units that are safe and decent for our clients to live in. The FMR for 2015 is $633 in St. Louis City for a one bedroom unit. This will allow us to rent units over $500 which is our current rental cap. Our leasing budget will no longer adequately cover the leasing costs of housing 35 clients at this rate. We believe that we can adequately afford to serve 30 clients at this rate.

Please note that we anticipate that as we monitor our leasing budget throughout the grant year to ensure that the leasing budget is spent out, we will be able to serve on average 33 clients at any given time. Project PLUS, our other permanent supportive housing program, operates in this manner. They are contracted to serve 35 clients but serve on average 39 to 40 clients depending on their leasing budget. This will be the practice that our program will also operate under. Therefore, while we guarantee that we will house and serve 30 clients at any given time; we will be watching our leasing budget and house more than 30 clients as our budget allows. Additionally, we will not discharge any existing client because of this change in number of clients served. Over the course of this grant year, we will monitor our leasing budget and as a client leaves the program naturally, we will either not replace that spot and/or depending on the leasing dollars left in our leasing budget, we will replace the client. At this point, if this amendment is approved, we anticipate being able to house on average 33 clients over course of the grant year.

Additionally, under the CoC Interim Program Rule, we lost the line item in our Supportive Services budget that allowed us to cover deposits. Under the new legislation, PSH leasing programs pay deposits out of their leasing budget and are not allowed to charge clients and/or request that the clients pay their own deposits, even if the client has moved multiple times. This also becomes another financial burden that our agency takes on. As rental costs increase so do the deposits. This has resulted in our leasing budget being over-stretched in order to adequately afford the leasing costs of housing 35 clients.

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	Interfaith Residence/Doorways
Project Name	Doorways Maryland
Grant Number	MO011B7E011104
Grant Start Date	January 1
Contact Person	Marcy Lifton
Phone/Email	mlifton@doorwayshousing.org

II. Project Summary

1. Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection.

Maryland is a permanent supportive housing program for individuals living with HIV and needing the 24-hour nursing care provided by a licensed residential care facility. The facility has 2 full-time licensed social workers and provides full meal service. Thirty-one of the 36 one-bedroom units are supported by CoC. A substantial majority of the residents have co-occurring mental or substance abuse conditions. Given the facility's licensing requirements and client medical issues, all clients are subject to in-depth medical and psycho/social assessment at entry and on an ongoing basis. The CoC's coordinated assessment will supplement the medical and psycho-social assessments done in the ordinary course. Also, we will continue to seek clients through the CoC network, Ryan White case managers, and going directly to those locations where homeless persons needing assistance are likely to be found.

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design.

    During the past year, program staff has made substantial efforts to maintain its client census notwithstanding that many otherwise eligible clients are simply too ill to live on the streets and are refused entry to a shelter. As a result of outreach efforts to other CoC agencies as well as hospitals, combined with efforts to advise homeless persons of the facility's availability, the facility has increased its occupancy rate to 30 of the 31 CoC-supported beds (over 96%).

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet.

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	yes
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	N/A
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	N/A
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

V. Budget

1. Do you expect that all funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? If not, what amount will not be spent and why.
    Program will fully spend available CoC funds

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project? NO

	Doorways Maryland Cooper House	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
1	Leavers destination was Permanent Housing- Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	6/14 42%	"11/12" or "8/ 9" 10 points	10 points We found the HMIS data questions confusing as to housing status when a resident leaves the facility to go to a skilled nursing facility (which provides long-term care) or dies (due to medical causes). While death is not "housing" as commonly used, it is certainly permanent. For this particular question, if deaths are not included, we believe that 8/9 went to permanent housing; and if deaths are included, then 11 of 12 went to permanent housing. It appears that for Item 4 (stable housing for 6+ months), HMIS did not include these 4 individuals as part of the total.
2	Leavers income- Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	14/14 100%	100% 10 points	10 points
2A	Stayers Income	23/23 100%	100% 10 points	10 points
3	Leavers have increased Non-cash- Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	14/14 100%	100% 10 points	10 points
3A	Stayers have increased Non-cash	23/23 100%	100% _ points	10 points
4	Stable housing 6+ months being housed 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	25/28 89%	34/35 97% 10points	See comment as to Item 1. We have revised our input in the HMIS so that the 3 deaths and 2 transfers to a skilled nursing facility will be included in the total count and treated as in "permanent" housing. We do not count the persons who arrived after July 1, 2014 and were still in residence at December 31. 10 points
5	Has returned to shelter- Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	NA		10 points
6	HMIS Data Performance-	100%		5 points
7	Active in COC- 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.		10 points	10 points Both
8	Utilization Rate/Occupancy- 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%	53%		0 points We have worked diligently during the year to increase occupancy, which is currently at 96.7%.
9	Organzational Strength- 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers		10 points	10 points The Program Director has 20 years experience in resident care. The two licensed clinical social workers who provide supportive services have more than 10 years' experience.
10	Budget- 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero		99.8% spent 10 points	10 points
	Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books		N/A	10 points
	Total	125		

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Assessment of Services Needs	10% of 2 full-time licensed clinical social workers	$10,000
Assistance with Moving Costs		
Case Management	75% of 2 full-time licensed clinical social workers	$91,550
Child Care		
Education Services		
Employment Assistance		
Food	1 FTE Food Service Manager and 3 FTE food service staff; plus food costs	$65,000
Housing/Counseling Services		
Legal Services		
Life Skills	10% of 2 full-time licensed clinical social workers 10% of Program director/facility manager 1 FTE Resident Life coordinator	$50,035
Mental Health Services		
Outpatient Health Services		
Outreach Services		
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		
Transportation	Cab vouchers for resident transportation (primarily medical appointments)	20,562
Utility Deposits		
	Total Services Assistance Requested	$237,547

OPERATING BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Maintenance/Repair		$161,775
Property Taxes & Insurance		$ 12,000
Replacement Reserve		$14,610
Building Security	3 FTE Residential Advocates and 2FTE Residential Advocate Supervisors (for 24 hour patient safety and security)	$146,000
Electricity, Gas & Water		
Furniture		$45,000
Equipment (lease, buy)		
		$18500
	Total Operating Assistance Requested	397,885

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET								
Size of Units		# of Units		FMR		# of Months		Total Budget
SRO			X		X	12	=	
0 Bedroom			X		X	12	=	
1 Bedroom			X		X	12	=	
2 Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
3 Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
4 Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
5 Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
6+ Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
Total							=	

SUMMARY BUDGET			
Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Requested	Match specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units			
Leased Structures			
Housing Relocation and Stabilization			
Short-term/Medium Term Assistance			
Long-term Rental Assistance			
Supportive Services	$237,547	Affordable Housing Trust Fund Medicaid reimbursements, in cash	$ 37,000 $ 22,387
Operating	$397,885	Medicaid reimbursements, in cash	$99,472
HMIS			
Sub-Total Requested	**635,432		
Admin (up to 7%)	**$44,480	Medicaid reimbursements, in cash	$11,120
Total plus Admin Requested	$679,912		
Sub-Total Cash Match			
Sub-total In-Kind Match			
TOTAL MATCH			$169,979
TOTAL BUDGET			$849,891

Match requirements – 25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

VI. Performance

The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported.

1. Complete the column for households expected to be served and number who will exit during the 2015 CoC grant term

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	CoC 2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	35		40
Total Number of households who exited/will exit	12		9

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	42%		47%
Housing Stability	89%		98%
Cash Income – Stayers	100%	***	70%
Non-Cash Benefits – Stayers	100%		73%
Return to Shelter	N/A		17*

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:

   - a variance between the HMIS generated reports and the agency provided reports and what steps are being taken to assure HMIS data completeness and accuracy for 2016.

     This program enters data into the HMIS on a very frequent basis, and is in regular communication with the HMIS provider to address data that doesn't appear to record accurately or which does not populate as anticipated. Notwithstanding the foregoing, we repeatedly experience "glitches" in the HMIS system for which there is no apparent reason; we address these glitches promptly with the HMIS support staff. Thus, with respect to this program, we are unable to provide any reason or guidance as to how a human

   - below the system target for our CoC

     Since the completion of calendar 2014, Doorways' outreach efforts have resulted in a substantial increase in the monthly census. As a result, we believe that we will be able to maintain _____

     In addition, although the system reported a below target level for "Destination Permanent Housing," the HMIS percentage appears to have included 3 client/patient deaths in calculating this statistic. Given that Maryland is a residential care facility for persons with significant medical needs and the presence of HIV as well as the Medicaid waiver pre-condition for all residents results in overall medically fragile population subject to opportunistic conditions and life threatening _____.

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	Interfaith Residence/Doorways
Project Name	Doorways Jumpstart
Grant Number	MO0112B7E011103
Grant Start Date	October 1
Contact Person	Marcy Lifton
Phone/Email	mlifton@doorwayshousing.org

II. Project Summary

1. Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection.

Jumpstart provides scattered site permanent housing to 18 families with children, with one family member having HIV. Usually, there is not much turnover among the Jumpstart families. Thus, when a vacancy is contemplated, program staff contact Ryan White case managers and other CoC members concerning community need, conduct an intake review/assessment on identified clients, and assist the client in finding suitable housing (which Doorways lease from the landlord and subleases to the client). When the new coordinated assessment process is in place, this tool will be used in tandem with other assessment factors deemed appropriate.

We have experienced great difficulty with the HMIS system as to Jumpstart. Although Compass Rose is much better than ROSIE, and we input all new data into the system, the prior system was so difficult to access and work with, that certain data fields with historical information are problematic, and we try to correct them when noted.

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design.

    ---We would like to include employment assistance and job training within the Supportive Services budget and will seek a budget change during issues and conditions to accommodate this service.

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet.

    No amendments

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	Yes
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	DW rents at scattered sites and subleases
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	YES
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	YES
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	YES

V. Budget

1. Do you expect that all funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? If not, what amount will not be spent and why.
   - Expect to spend all funds

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project? NO

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Assessment of Services Needs	0.1 FTE Client Service coordinator; related supplies	$4,000
Assistance with Moving Costs	Client moving expenses including truck rental, hiring a moving company and supplies	$1,000
Case Management	0.4 FTE Client service coordinator; related supplies	$18,000
Child Care		
Education Services	Books, school supplies, workshops, mobile internet for in-home classes with CSC, learning aids	$3,120
Employment Assistance		
Food	Food vouchers for program participants	$12,000
Housing/Counseling Services	0.1 FTE Client Service coordinator; related supplies	$4,000
Legal Services		
Life Skills	0.4 FTE client Service coordinator; related supplies	$18,000
Mental Health Services		
Outpatient Health Services		
Outreach Services		
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		
Transportation	Bus passes, Call-a-Ride, Cab expenses, staff mileage to scattered site units	$8,000
Utility Deposits		
Total Services Assistance Requested		$68,120

OPERATING BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Maintenance/Repair	Maintenance and repair to leased premises not covered by lessor; carpet cleaning; related supplies	$10,354
Property Taxes & Insurance		
Replacement Reserve		
Building Security		
Electricity, Gas & Water		
Furniture	Furnishings for program units	$2,718
Equipment (lease, buy)	Appliances for units; other equipment as needed	$2,000
Total Operating Assistance Requested		$15,072

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET							
Size of Units	# of Units		FMR		# of Months		Total Budget
SRO	0	X		X	12	=	0
0 Bedroom	0	X		X	12	=	0
1 Bedroom	0	X		X	12	=	0
2 Bedrooms	6	X	$ 816	X	12	=	19,584
3 Bedrooms	6	X	$1,063	X	12	=	76,536
4 Bedrooms	6	X	$ 1 206	X	12	=	86,832
5 Bedrooms	0	X		X	12	=	0
6+ Bedrooms	0	X		X	12	=	0
							162,952(net of estim. $20,760 of tenant-generated income)
Total	18					=	

SUMMARY BUDGET			
Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Requested	Match specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units	$154,000		154,000
Leased Structures	0		
Housing Relocation and Stabilization	0		
Short-term/Medium Term Assistance	0		
Long-term Rental Assistance	0		
Supportive Services	$68,120	Cash- Affordable Housing-$17,030	$85,150
Operating	$15,072	Cash – Affordable Housing-$3768	$18,840
HMIS	0		
Sub-Total Requested	$237,192		
Admin (up to 7%)	$16,603	Cash – Affordable Housing- $415	$17,018
Total plus Admin Requested	$253,695		
Sub-Total Cash Match			
Sub-total In-Kind Match			
TOTAL MATCH			$21,213
TOTAL BUDGET			$274,908

Match requirements – 25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

VI. Performance

The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported.

1. Complete the column for households expected to be served and number who will exit during the 2015 CoC grant term

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	CoC 2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	72 persons- in 19 HH	72persons in 19 HH	21 HH
Total Number of households who exited/will exit	7 persons- in 2 HH	2 HH- 4 persons	3 HH

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	33%	100%	47%
Housing Stability	100%	100%	98%
Cash Income – Stayers	56%	71.4%	70%
Non-Cash Benefits – Stayers	96%	100%	73%
Return to Shelter	N/A	-0-	17*

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:

- a variance between the HMIS generated reports and the agency provided reports and what steps are being taken to assure HMIS data completeness and accuracy for 2016.
  The Jumpstart program has had excessive difficulty working the with HMIS system, particularly with the prior version which locked us out for any client with an outstanding issue with any other CoC agency. Although the new system works better for the program, some of the historical data on long-term clients is not as complete as desired. We are working to ensure that client data is complete and accessible.

- below the system target for our CoC
  As noted above, we have found the HMIS to have inaccurate data, which we are trying to correct. Furthermore, for the Jumpstart program, which is focused on families with children, as children are aging, there are reductions in cash income that result simply because children have aged so that the benefits no longer apply.
  This form, and the standard CoC questions, do not accommodate these nuances.

4

Complete and return electronically to Rich LaPlume
Chair of the Rank and Review Committee
rich.laplume@depaulusa.org **by (August 12, 2015 by 12:00pm)**

	Doorways Jumpstart	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
1	Leavers destination was Permanent Housing-Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	1/3 33%	4 of 4 100% 10 points	10 points
2	Leavers income-Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	2/3 66%	3 of 4- 75% ~~10 points~~	5 points
2A	Stayes Income	14/25 56%		5 points
3	Leavers have increased Non-cash-Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	3/3 100%	4/4 100% 10 points	10 points
3A	Stayers have increased Non-cash	24/25 96%	25/25	10 points We do not value non-cash income
4	Stable housing 6+ months being housed 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	12/12 100%	18/18	10 points
5	Has returned to shelter-Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	NA	10 points	10 points
6	HMIS Data Performance-	99%		less than 1% unknown data 5 points
7	Active in COC-10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.		10 points	10 points Both
8	Utilization Rate/Occupancy-10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%	104%	100% 10 points	10 points
9	Organzational Strength-10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers		10 points	Program director has more than 16 years experience in managing HUD and HOPWA- 10 points sponsored housing and supportive services assistance to persons living with HIV. The Program Coordinator has more than 8 years experience providing supportive services to families with multiple diagnoses.
10	Budget-10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero		over 95% 10 points	10 points
	Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books		0	10 points
	Total	120		

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	DEPAUL USA
Project Name	Project Plus
Grant Number	MO0006L7E011303
Grant Start Date	December 1, 2015
Contact Person	Rich LaPlume
Phone/Email	(314) 539-1788 rich.laplume@depaulusa.org

II. Project Summary

Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection. *Project PLUS is a permanent supportive housing program in its 6th year of operation. We support 35 homeless, disabled individuals in one bedroom, scattered-site apartments. We outreach and focus on housing the chronic, disabled homeless, who has done poorly in the traditional care system and has additional functional limitations that make it hard for him to find and keep housing. 100% of the clients served meet the HUD standard for chronic homelessness, which is also a priority population for our community. We also outreach directly with the Homeless Veterans program when we have openings to see if they have any veterans who are eligible for our program as homeless veterans are also a priority population in our community. 100% of clients served are diagnosed with a major mental illness; about 85% or more of the clients served have a co-occurring disorder of drug/alcohol dependency; while another 50% plus have additional disorders of chronic health issues, developmental delays and/or HIV. 100% of the woman we have served have reported being a victim of abuse. We are a Housing First program using a low demand model and, as such, house people directly from homelessness into housing. We then offer wraparound support services to them in their housing. We develop an individualized treatment plan with each client to begin to address their concerns, set goals and start the path to stability that will eventually lead them to permanent housing. We enter and discharge all clients and their information into the HMIS system and update information on a yearly basis and/or as things change with their situation that requires an update. We also use the HMIS system to write case notes and track visits.*

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design. *The fact that we have left Leasing Dollars on the table consistently, our program has made a major change in the number of clients served. We are contractual held to serve 35 individuals   Last grant year we were housing 40 consistently and this year we are serving 45. We plan to keep serving this amount this upcoming year so that we spend down all our leasing dollars.*

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet. *No.*

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	Yes
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	Yes
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	Yes
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

1

V. Budget

1. Do you expect that all funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? If not, what amount will not be spent and why. *Even with expanding clients served to 45 we will still have unspent leasing dollars. This is due to the fact that the FMR is higher than the actual rent we pay. Next year by serving 45 dollars we will spend down all of the leasing dollars.*

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project? *$10,000-13,000 of leasing dollars will be unspent, and can be used in new projects.*

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	**Quantity Description (400 characters max)**	**CoC Assistance Requested**
Assessment of Services Needs		
Assistance with Moving Costs		$5000.00
Case Management	1Clinical Social Worker 1 FTE($56,948 sal & ben)	$52,625.00
Child Care		
Education Services		
Employment Assistance		
Food		
Housing/Counseling Services		
Legal Services		
Life Skills	1 Life Skills Case Manager 1 FTE(33,058sal+ben)	$28,058.00
Mental Health Services		
Outpatient Health Services		
Outreach Services		
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		
Transportation	Client bus passes and staff mileage	$8,333.00
Utility Deposits		
Total Services Assistance Requested		**$94,016.00**

OPERATING BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	**Quantity Description (400 characters max)**	**CoC Assistance Requested**
Maintenance/Repair	1Office/Property Manager 1FTE (47,650 sal&ben)	$38,857.00
Property Taxes & Insurance		
Replacment Reserve		
Building Security		
Electricity, Gas & Water	Use for clients	$35,00.00
Furniture	Essential furnishings for clients	$40,69.00
Equipment (lease, buy)	Computer lease	$5,33.00
Total Operating Assistance Requested		**$469,59.00**

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET								
Size of Units		# of Units		FMR		# of Months		Total Budget
SRO			X		X	12	=	
0 Bedroom			X		X	12	=	
1 Bedroom		35	X	$633.00	X	12	=	$265,860.00
2 Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
3 Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
4 Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
5 Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
6+ Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
Total							=	

SUMMARY BUDGET			
Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Requested	Match specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units	$261,513.00		$261,513.00
Leased Structures			
Housing Relocation and Stabilization			
Short-term/Medium Term Assistance			
Long-term Rental Assistance			
Supportive Services	$94,016.00		$94,016.00
Operating	$46,959.00		$46,959.00
HMIS			
Sub-Total Requested	$402,488.00		
Admin (up to 7%)	$28,174.00		$28,174.00
Total plus Admin Requested	$430,662.00		
Sub-Total Cash Match			
Sub-total In-Kind Match			
TOTAL MATCH			$42,287.25
TOTAL BUDGET			$472,949.25

Match requirements – 25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

VI. Performance

The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported.

1. Complete the column for households expected to be served and number who will exit during the 2015 CoC grant term

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	CoC 2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	45	45	50
Total Number of households who exited/will exit	5	5	5

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	40%	50%	47%
Housing Stability	100%	100%	98%
Cash Income – Stayers	83%	83%	70%
Non-Cash Benefits – Stayers	97%	97%	73%
Return to Shelter	0%	0%	17*

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:

   - a variance between the HMIS generated reports and the agency provided reports and what steps are being taken to assure HMIS data completeness and accuracy for 2016.

   - below the system target for our CoC

Destination to permanent housing was 2/4 considering one of our client died and this is not considered a negative outcome.

Complete and return electronically to Rich LaPlume
Chair of the Rank and Review Committee
rich.laplume@depaulusa.org by (August 12, 2015 by 12:00pm)

4

	Project PLUS	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
1	**Leavers destination was Permanent Housing-** Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	2/5 40%	2/4 50%	*5 points* 1 client died
2	**Leavers income-** Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	3/5 60%	same	*5 points*
2A	**Stayes Income**	33/40 83%	same	*10 points*
3	**Leavers have increased Non-cash-** Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	4/5 80%	same	*5 ~~10~~ points*
3A	**Stayers have increased Non-cash**	39/40 97%	same	*10points*
4	**Stable housing 6+ months being housed** 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	40/40 100%	same	*10 points*
5	**Has returned to shelter-** Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	0%	same	*10 points*
6	**HMIS Data Performance-**	100%	same	*5 points* no missing data
7	**Active in COC-** 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.			*10 points* attend both general and cmmittee and active in both meetings unfortuantely
8	**Utilization Rate/Occupancy-** 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%	105%	same	*10 points*
9	**Organzational Strength-** 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers			2 staff been part of *10points* grant since it started over 6 yeas ago 1 staff 3 years of expeience with grant
10	**Budget-** 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero			100% otheroperting cost and supportive *10points* cost spent and met match
	Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books			under 10% unspent all leasing dollars *5point*
	Total	115		

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	Interfaith Residence/Doorways
Project Name	Doorways Delmar
Grant Number	MO0111B7E011103
Grant Start Date	October 1
Contact Person	Marcy Lifton
Phone/Email	mlifton@doorwayshousing.org

II. Project Summary

1. Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection.

Delmar was the first permanent supportive housing project sponsored by the City as part of what we know today as the CoC. It is a structure with 11 apartments (9-1BR, 2-2BR) that are available to 11 persons living with HIV/AIDS, with 2 residents able to have roommates of their choice. There is an office on-site and certain supportive services are provided on-site.

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design.

   Each year, the program increases its supportive services for residents and continues to support residents in achieving independence, including finding employment and remaining healthy.

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet.

   -We have been approved for a grant budget amendment to reduce electricity gas and water and add the same amount to "Maintenance/Operations" (with the revised budget reflected below).

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	Yes
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	no
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	N/A
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

V. Budget

1. Do you expect that all funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? If not, what amount will not be spent and why.
   Expect to spend 100%

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project? NO

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET

Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Assessment of Services Needs	.2 FTE Client Service Coordinator; .1FTE Residential program manager; related supplies	$15,693
Assistance with Moving Costs		
Case Management		
Child Care		
Education Services		
Employment Assistance		
Food		
Housing/Counseling Services		
Legal Services		
Life Skills	.2 FTE Client Service Coordinator; .1FTE Residential program manager; related supplies	15,693
Mental Health Services		
Outpatient Health Services		
Outreach Services		
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		
Transportation		
Utility Deposits		
	Total Services Assistance Requested	$31,386

OPERATING BUDGET

Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Maintenance/Repair	.25 FTE Maintenance Tech; 0.1 FTE custodian; 0.15 FTE Residential Program manager; supplies for maintenance/repair	$25,648
Property Taxes & Insurance	Building and property insurance	4,228
Replacement Reserve		
Building Security		
Electricity, Gas & Water	Electricity, gas and water	15,260
Furniture		
Equipment (lease, buy)		
	Total Operating Assistance Requested	$69,036

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET

Size of Units		# of Units		FMR		# of Months		Total Budget
SRO			X		X	12	=	
0 Bedroom			X		X	12	=	
1 Bedroom			X		X	12	=	
2 Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
3 Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
4 Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
5 Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
6+ Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
Total							=	

2

SUMMARY BUDGET			
Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Requested	Match specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units			
Leased Structures			
Housing Relocation and Stabilization			
Short-term/Medium Term Assistance			
Long-term Rental Assistance			
Supportive Services	31,386	In-kind Services of social worker volunteer	$20,000
Operating	69,036	Repair and maintenance costs	$5,000
HMIS			
Sub-Total Requested	100,422		
Admin (up to 7%)	7,029		352
Total plus Admin Requested	107,451		
Sub-Total Cash Match			
Sub-total In-Kind Match			
TOTAL MATCH			26,352
TOTAL BUDGET			$133,803

Match requirements – 25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

VI. Performance

The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported.

1. Complete the column for households expected to be served and number who will exit during the 2015 CoC grant term

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	CoC 2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	12	12	12
Total Number of households who exited/will exit	N/A	2	1

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	N/A	N/A	47%
Housing Stability	100%	100%	98%
Cash Income – Stayers	58%	66%	70%
Non-Cash Benefits – Stayers	66% (see below)	2/11(see below)	73%
Return to Shelter	0%	0%	17*

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:

- a variance between the HMIS generated reports and the agency provided reports and what steps are being taken to assure HMIS data completeness and accuracy for 2016.
  We input HMIS data on a regular basis. For the upcoming year, we plan to input the annual assessment directly into the HMIS. In addition, we will download HMIS data reports monthly and verify printed results.

- below the system target for our CoC
  3 of the 12 core clients during calendar 2014, representing 25% of the year-end designated "clients", received no non-cash benefits; thus, we believe that the non-cash benefits for stayers should be **9 of 12, or 75%.** All clients have applied for available benefits, but some are turned down by the government.

#	Doorways Delmar	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
1	Leavers destination was Permanent Housing- Individuals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47%.	NA	10 points 2/2 is 100%	*10 points* (handwritten)
2	Leavers Income- Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	NA	10 points 2/2 is 100%	*10 points* (handwritten)
2A	Stayers Income	7/12 58%	9/12 66%	*0 points* (handwritten)
3	Leavers have increased Non-cash- Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possible benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	NA	10 points 2/2 is 100%	standard is "increased or maintained" *10 points* (handwritten)
3A	Stayers have increased Non-cash	8/12 66%	___ points	We believe it should be 9 of 12 (75%) *0 points* (handwritten)
4	Stable housing 6+ months being housed 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	12/12 100%	12/12 100%	*10 points* (handwritten)
5	Has returned to shelter- Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	0	10 points 0%	*10 points* (handwritten)
6	HMIS Data Performance-	99%	99%	*5 points* (handwritten)
7	Active in COC- 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.		10 points	Both criteria satisfied *10 points* (handwritten)
8	Utilization Rate/Occupancy- 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%		90% 10 points - 95%	Program has 11 units, of which 9 have 1 BR and 2 have 2 BR. Thus, the has 11 qualifying "clients" (i.e. meet the CoC and other program-specific criteria) at any one time, and a potential additional 2 roommates. The only unit vacancy during the calendar year was one unit, which was vacated mid-month and filled by the first day of the next month, which is less that 5% of available nights during the year. *10 points* (handwritten)
9	Organzational Strength- 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers		10 points	*10 points* (handwritten) Program director, MSW with 15 years experience, 10 in mental health, 5 in housing. The client services coordinator is also a trained social worker.
10	Budget- 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero		5 points	We spent just over 94% of the grant amount; this underspend was due to the fact that the City did not approve a budget amendment between Operating sub-categories, which was submitted timely. However, for the current grant year, the city has approved a similar amendment and we expect to fully utilize the current grant. *5 points* (handwritten)
	Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books	N/A	N/A	*10 points* (handwritten)
	Total	10		

people who left the program in 2013 and returned to shelter 2014

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	St. Patrick Center
Project Name	Project Protect Housing
Grant Number	MO0113L7E011305
Grant Start Date	10/01/14-9/30/15
Contact Person	John E. Fallah
Phone/Email	(314) 802-0993/jfallah@stpatrickcenter.org

II. Project Summary

1. Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection.

5

Project Protect is a permanent supportive housing program that provides scattered site housing and supportive services for approximately 27 homeless families at any given time. These families would have lost custody of their children or are at risk of losing custody due to parental substance abuse issues. The program provides a comprehensive continuum of care for these families through intensive case management. They receive assistance in finding safe and affordable housing with the assistance of a housing compliance specialist. There is also a crisis case manager who works with the families to address crisis situations that may be confronting them. The case manager conducts regular home visits with the families/clients; conducts budgeting sessions with them to ensure financial stability, and refers them to community resources such as substance abuse treatment centers, mental health and/or health care services as needed and appropriate. Project Protect uses the Homeless Management Information System ((HMIS) to collect and update relevant client data.

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design.

There were no significant changes in the program during the last fiscal year (October 2014-September 2015). However, the program experienced intermittent staff resignations for better opportunities elsewhere which affected our client recruitment and enrollment as well as spending down funds allocated for salaries, leasing and operations.

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet. **No**

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	No
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	No
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	Yes
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

V. Budget

1. Do you expect that all funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? If not, what amount will not be spent and why.

No, not all funds allocated in the 2014 Project Protect budget will be expended by the end of the fiscal year. 1) The program experienced intermittent staff resignations and replacements in the course of the fiscal year. Hence, by the end of the year, there would be some funds leftover in salaries in the amount of $28,000 for the period these positions were opened. The $28,000 represents $8,000 left in salary for the Crisis Case Manager position and the balance of $20,000 for the Leasing Compliance Specialist. 2) There will also be a balance of about $71,000 left in leasing due to the same staff changes which affected client recruitment and enrollment thus putting the program under capacity for client enrollment. Moreover, rental payments for the 27 rental units were estimated in the budget at the Fair Market Rate (FMR) rental payment which is usually more than the actual rent amounts paid.

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project? No. However, we hereby propose that leftover funds be used to hire another program staff, preferably another case manager to provide more services to clients. Even though the program targets 27 heads of household for services, the case manager also provides services to their dependents/children. Hence, the total number of people served (head of household and their children) by the program by then end of the fiscal year is usually over 100. It is our hope to serve a larger population of homeless families by increasing the households receiving permanent supported housing thus reduces those families in the Emergency Shelter System..

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Assessment of Services Needs		
Assistance with Moving Costs	Move-in kits (approx. $511/kit x 15 households)	$7,666
Case Management	1 FTE Crisis Case Manager (salary, FICA @ 7.65% and benefits including health insurance, pension/Group Life/Long Term Disability)	$48,035
Child Care		
Education Services		
Employment Assistance		
Food		
Housing/Counseling Services		
Legal Services		
Life Skills		
Mental Health Services		
Outpatient Health Services		
Outreach Services		
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		
Transportation	Bus passes ($12,667); van fuel & repair ($4,000); insurance ($2,000)	$18,667
Utility Deposits		
Total Services Assistance Requested		$74,368

OPERATING BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested

Maintenance/Repair	1 FTE Leasing Compliance Specialist (salary, 1 FTE FICA @ 7.65%, and benefits including health insurance, pension/Group Life/Long Term Disability)	$37,367
Property Taxes & Insurance		
Replacement Reserve		
Building Security		
Electricity, Gas & Water		
Furniture	Furniture for 12 households (approximately $1,027.75 per household)	$12,333
Equipment (lease, buy)		
Total Operating Assistance Requested		$49,700

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET							
Size of Units		# of Units		FMR		# of Months	Total Budget
SRO			X		X	12	
0 Bedroom			X		X	12	
1 Bedroom		2	X	$633	X	12	$15,192
2 Bedrooms		6	X	816	X	12	58,752
3 Bedrooms		17	X	1,063	X	12	216,852
4 Bedrooms		1	X	1,394	X	12	16,728
5 Bedrooms			X		X	12	
6+ Bedrooms			X		X	12	
Total		27		$3,085			$307,524

SUMMARY BUDGET			
Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Requested	Match specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units	$307,524		$307,524
Leased Structures	-		
Housing Relocation and Stabilization	-		
Short-term/Medium Term Assistance	-		
Long-term Rental Assistance	-		
Supportive Services	74,368	$24,790	99,158
Operating	49,700	16,567	66,267
HMIS	-		
Sub-Total Requested	$431,592		
Admin (up to 7%)	29,019	9,673	38,692

3

PSH Programs St. Patrick Center Project Protect Housing

Total plus Admin Requested	$460,611		
Sub-Total Cash Match		51,030	
Sub-total In-Kind Match			
TOTAL MATCH		51,030	
TOTAL BUDGET			$511,641

Match requirements – 25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

VI. Performance

The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported.

1. Complete the column for households expected to be served and number who will exit during the 2015 CoC grant term

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	CoC 2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	37	27	27
Total Number of households who exited/will exit	13	4	3

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	67%		47%
Housing Stability	100%	100%	98%
Cash Income – Stayers	77%	71%	70%
Non-Cash Benefits – Stayers	87%	71%	73%
Return to Shelter	14%	n/a	17*

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:

- a variance between the HMIS generated reports and the agency provided reports and what steps are being taken to assure HMIS data completeness and accuracy for 2016.

- below the system target for our CoC

Cash income-Stayers: There is a 6% variance between the HMIS generated percentage for cash income of stayers and the agency- reported outcome of 71%. This difference is due to the number of unemployed clients (11) in the program. The new crisis case manager has resumed job readiness and job retention sessions with clients on caseload to increase the employability of unemployed clients.

Return to Shelter: The agency does not have any numbers reported for Project Protect clients returning to shelters. Our clients usually transition to regular stable housing in the communities or Section # 8 Housing

Note: The difference in numbers and percentages between
the HMIS and the agency reported numbers is due to the fact that
we primarily used the Rosie system to update data on client entry and
exit only. However, moving forward we are requesting staff to update
all data categories in the HMIS/Compass Rose including income sources
i.e. cash and non-cash benefits for leavers and stayers.

	St. Pats Project Protect	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
1	Leavers destination was Permanent Housing- Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	4/6 67%	4	10
2	Leavers income- Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	3/6 50%	0	0 points
2A	Stayes Income	24/31 77%	23	10 points
3	Leavers have increased Non-cash- Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	5/6 83%	0	10 points
3A	Stayers have increased Non-cash	27/31 87%	24	10 points
4	Stable housing 6+ months being housed 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	27/27 100%	27	10 points
5	Has returned to shelter- Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	4/28 14%	0	10 points 5 points
6	HMIS Data Performance-	99%		less than 1% data missin
7	Active in COC- 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.		100%	10 points both
8	Utilization Rate/Occupancy- 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%		66% 24	0 points
9	Organzational Strength- 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers		6 +yeaers	10 points
10	Budget- 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero		90%	5 points
	Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books		1%	10 points
	Total	105		

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	DMH S+C St. Patrick Center
Project Name	SZB Chronic
Grant Number	MO0008L7E011301
Grant Start Date	10/1/2014
Contact Person	Judy Johnson
Phone/Email	Judy.Johnson@dmh.mo.gov

II. Project Summary

1. Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection. (See Attachment)

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design. NA

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet. NA

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	NA
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	NA
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	Yes
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

V. Budget

1. Do you expect that all funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? If not, what amount will not be spent and why. Yes

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project? No

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Assessment of Services Needs		0
Assistance with Moving Costs		0
Case Management		0
Child Care		0

Education Services		0
Employment Assistance		0
Food		0
Housing/Counseling Services		0
Legal Services		0
Life Skills		0
Mental Health Services		0
Outpatient Health Services		0
Outreach Services		0
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		0
Transportation		0
Utility Deposits		0
	Total Services Assistance Requested	0

OPERATING BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Maintenance/Repair		0
Property Taxes & Insurance		0
Replacment Reserve		0
Building Security		0
Electricity, Gas & Water		0
Furniture		0
Equipment (lease, buy)		0
	Total Operating Assistance Requested	0

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET							
Size of Units	# of Units		FMR		# of Months		Total Budget
SRO		X		X	12	=	
0 Bedroom	1	X	533	X	12	=	$6,396.00
1 Bedroom	42	X	633	X	12	=	$319,032.00
2 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=	
3 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=	
4 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=	
5 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=	
6+ Bedrooms		X		X	12	=	
Total	43					=	$325,428.00

2

SUMMARY BUDGET			
Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Requested	Match specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units	0		0
Leased Structures	0		0
Housing Relocation and Stabilization	0		0
Short-term/Medium Term Assistance	0		0
Long-term Rental Assistance	$325,428.00		$325,428.00
Supportive Services	0		0
Operating	0		0
HMIS	0		0
Sub-Total Requested	$325,428.00		
Admin (up to 7%)	$22,779.00		$22,779.00
Total plus Admin Requested	$348,207.00		
Sub-Total Cash Match			
Sub-total In-Kind Match			
TOTAL MATCH		In-Kind State Government	$88,000.00
TOTAL BUDGET			$436,207.00

Match requirements – 25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

Applicant: Missouri Department of Mental Health 44-6000987
Project: SZB (STL City Shelter Plus Care Renewal Chronic-43)

This legacy Shelter Plus Care program is in its 6th year of operation and provides permanent housing/long term rental assistance and support services to chronically homeless individuals that are the hardest to reach and serve, and who tend to utilize the most community resources: individuals with serious mental illness, chronic substance and/or alcohol issues, co-occurring diagnoses and developmental disabilities. Many program participants have multiple health and mental health issues. They lack income and other resources necessary to obtain and maintain housing stability.

Eligible individuals are referred to a housing unit based on the HUD Prioritization Guidelines issued in July 2014. Those with the longest length of time homeless and highest service needs score are referred from the priority list first. Individuals referred currently come from agencies that will be participating in the St. Louis City CoC Coordinated Assessment process.

DMH contracts with St. Patrick Center to assist eligible participants in finding suitable housing, assuring the units meet HUD HQS and to pay monthly rents. Program participants are encouraged to choose housing that gives them the best access to transportation, treatment services, medical care, social services, child care, employment, shopping, recreation and other supports. St. Patrick Center is contracted by DMH to enter the HMIS data and assist in preparing CoC and HUD required reports.

Matching support services are provided by a network of provider agencies funded by DMH and other community based support service providers. Case managers assist individuals to apply for SSI, SSDI, VA and other benefits. Many case managers are SOAR trained. They assist in applying for mainstream benefits such as food stamps, Medicaid and TANF, and help clients connect with necessary medical services at Federally Qualified Healthcare Centers and Health Care Homes.

VI. Performance

The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported.

1. Complete the column for households expected to be served and number who will exit during the 2015 CoC grant term

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	CoC 2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	53	53	53
Total Number of households who exited/will exit	5	5	5

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	60%	60%	47%
Housing Stability	98%	96%	98%
Cash Income – Stayers	81%	81%	70%
Non-Cash Benefits – Stayers	83%	83%	73%
Return to Shelter	37.5%	0%	**17***

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:

   - a variance between the HMIS generated reports and the agency provided reports and what steps are being taken to assure HMIS data completeness and accuracy for 2016.

   - below the system target for our CoC

The Department of Mental Health will continue to provide in-service training by way of HMIS to our Processing Centers on the importance of inputting and updating correct information into the HMIS Data Base for accurate Annual Progress Reports and better outcomes for the CoC. The grants the Department of Mental Health are responsible for start on different dates and in most cases are not in sync with the CoC calendar year therefore the numbers may be more or less than the system's target.

**Complete and return electronically to Rich LaPlume
Chair of the Rank and Review Committee**

	DMH S+C SPC SZB Chronic	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
1	**Leavers destination was Permanent Housing-** Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	3/5 60%	10	10 points
2	**Leavers income-** Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	3/5 60%	5	0/ 5 points
2A	**Stayers Income**	39/48 81%	81%	10 points
3	**Leavers have increased Non-cash-** Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	2/5 40%	0	0 points
3A	**Stayers have increased Non-cash**	40/48 83%	83%	10 points
4	**Stable housing 6+ months being housed** 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	103/104 99%	10	10 points
5	**Has returned to shelter-** Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	3/8 37.5%	0	0 points
6	**HMIS Data Performance-**	100%	100%	5 points
7	**Active in COC-** 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.		10 both	10 points
8	**Utilization Rate/Occupancy-** 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%	98%	10	10 points
9	**Organzational Strength-** 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers		10	10 points
10	**Budget-** 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero		10	10 points
	Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books		0	10 points
	Total	105	65	

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	Employment Connection
Project Name	Project Homecoming
Grant Number	MO 0012L7E011306
Grant Start Date	October 1, 2015
Contact Person	David Kessel
Phone/Email	davidkessel@employmentstl.org

II. Project Summary

1. Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection.

   Project Homecoming provides 15 units (22 beds) of scattered site PSH (single family apartment apartments or houses) for households in which the head of household is a homeless Veteran of the United States military with a disability. Chronically homeless, single, and small families (up to 2 bedroom units) are served in the Project Homecoming PSH. The Project Homecoming PSH is a housing first program that focuses on engaging and addressing client needs.

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design.

   The Project Homecoming PSH plans to increase the number of Veterans served due to our efficient use of funds and the substantial need to service disabled Veterans and Veterans that are chronically homeless. We have used the Arizona Self Sufficiency model to help assess and address client needs in the Project Homecoming PSH program. However, we are actively engaged in helping the City of St. Louis and St. Louis County implement a coordinated assessment tool such as the SPDAT, ViSPDAT.

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet.

   No.

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer presentation on your Board?	Yes
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	Yes
If you receive Leasing/Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	Yes
Are you conducting Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

V. Budget

1. Do you expect funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? No If not, what amount will not be spent and why. We are projecting $151,000 will be spent. Because of staff turnover in the Housing Case Manager position, we are projecting approximately $6,000 in unspent funds. In addition, we are projecting $24,000 in unspent Leasing dollars transitioned to master leases, it was necessary to assist some clients to move to different

apartments. We were able to help them obtain less expensive units. We are currently increasing the number of clients housed by this grant, while staying within future year FMRs.

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project? No. We expect that in future years, all funds will be spent.

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Assessment of Services Needs		
Assistance with Moving Costs		
Case Management	(1) Housing Case Manager – 0.3244 FTE ($42,816 salary = fringe)	$13,890
Child Care		
Education Services		
Employment Assistance		
Food		
Housing/Counseling Services	(1) Housing Manager – 0.0525 FTE ($62,786 salary + fringe)	$3,296
Legal Services		
Life Skills		
Mental Health Services		
Outpatient Health Services		
Outreach Services		
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		
Transportation	Case Managers Local Travel (client visits and transportation) 100 miles/week @ $0.445/mile	$2,314
Utility Deposits		
	Total Services Assistance Requested	$19,500

OPERATING BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Maintenance/Repair	(1) Housing Case Manager – 0.402 FTE ($42,816 salary + fringe); (1) COO – 0.1 FTE ($122,917 salary + fringe); (1) Sr. Mgr. Accounting – 0.0125 FTE ($63,012 salary = fringe); (1) Accountant – 0.05 FTE ($55,258 salary + fringe)	$34,704
Property Taxes & Insurance		
Replacment Reserve		
Building Security		
Electricity, Gas & Water		
Furniture		
Equipment (lease, buy)		
	Total Operating Assistance Requested	$34,704

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET				
Size of Units	# of Units	FMR	# of Months	Total Budget

PSH Programs Employment Connection Project Homecoming

SRO			X		X		12	=	
0 Bedroom			X		X		12	=	
1 Bedroom	10		X	$633	X		12	=	$73,897
2 Bedrooms	5		X	$816	X		12	=	$47,630
3 Bedrooms			X		X		12	=	
4 Bedrooms			X		X		12	=	
5 Bedrooms			X		X		12	=	
6+ Bedrooms			X		X		12	=	
Total								=	$121,527

SUMMARY BUDGET			
Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Requested	Match specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units	$121,527		$121,527
Leased Structures			
Housing Relocation and Stabilization			
Short-term/Medium Term Assistance			
Long-term Rental Assistance			
Supportive Services	$19,500	$6,581 (Affordable Housing Commission & Contributions)	$26,081
Operating	$34,704	$9,312 (Affordable Housing Commission & Contributions)	$44,016
HMIS			
Sub-Total Requested	$175,731		
Admin (up to 7%)	$11,780	$1,472 (EC Portion – Contributions) $1,474 (City Portion – Unknown)	$14,724
Total plus Admin Requested	$187,511		
Sub-Total Cash Match		$18,837	
Sub-total In-Kind Match			
TOTAL MATCH		$18,837	$18,837
TOTAL BUDGET			$206,348

Match requirements – 25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

VI. Performance

The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported.

1. Complete the column for households expected to be served and number who will exit during the 2015 CoC grant term

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	CoC 2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	20	20	21
Total Number of households who exited/will exit	1	1	1

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	0%	0%	47%
Housing Stability	100%	100%	98%
Cash Income – Stayers	95%	95%	70%
Non-Cash Benefits – Stayers	47%	47%	73%
Return to Shelter	0%	0%	17*

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:
   - a variance between the HMIS generated reports and the agency provided reports and what steps are being taken to assure HMIS data completeness and accuracy for 2016.
     - The Project Homecoming PSH plans to increase the number of Veterans served due to our efficient use of funds and the substantial need to service disabled Veterans and Veterans that are chronically homeless.
   - below the system target for our CoC
     - *Destination Permanent Housing*: One client exited the Project Homecoming PSH due to his passing (death). This client was able to maintain stable housing, reunify with family, and improve his health as a participant in Project Homecoming PSH.
     - *Non-Cash Benefits-Stayers*: Clients eligible and/or in need of non-cash benefits apply for such resources through local, state, federal, and Veteran Administration offices. The Arizona Self Sufficiency model that we currently utilize has been helpful in assessing the specific needs of a client or household. Through partnering with SOAR trained staff we have been able to ensure clients receive benefits they are eligible for expeditiously.
     - *Return to Shelter*: No clients exited from the Project Homecoming PSH have returned to shelter during this reporting period. Through intensive case management we work tirelessly to increase the self sufficiency of each household so any client exits to permanent housing they are able to sustain. We strongly encourage clients to reside in units that they are able to maintain on their own if and when they transition out of the Project Homecoming program. This transition in place model has helped us identify units that clients can both afford and are places they are proud to call home.

Complete and return electronically to Rich LaPlume
Chair of the Rank and Review Committee
rich.laplume@depaulusa.org by (August 12, 2015 by 12:00pm)

Employment Connection Project Homecomming	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments	
1 Leavers destination was Permanent Housing- Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	0/1 0%	0%	O points Leaver was deceased as of 5/2014. Leaver died while in PSH.	N/A
2 Leavers income- Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	1/1 100%	100%	10 points	
2A Stayers Income	18/19 95%	95%	10 points	
3 Leavers have increased Non-cash- Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	14/14 100%	100%	10 points	
3A Stayers have increased Non-cash	9/19 47%	47%	O points	
4 Stable housing 6+ months being housed 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	18/18 100%	100%	10 points	
5 Has returned to shelter- Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	0/2 0%	0%	10 points	
6 HMIS Data Performance-	99%	99%	less than 1% data mis	Sp B
7 Active in COC- 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.		100%	Present at all general CoC meetings, and represented on the Service Delivery committee	10 points
8 Utilization Rate/Occupancy- 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%	95%	95%	10 points	
9 Organzational Strength- 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers		10	Connection's CEO has been with the agency for 38 years. COO has ben with the agency for 20 years.	10 points
10 Budget- 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero			Because of Housing Case Manager turnover and leasing savings during the contract period, we	O points
Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books		20%	Because we were able to relocate	O point
Total	95/ 125			

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	Places for People
Project Name	Housing for the Future of Families
Grant Number	MO0107L7E011305
Grant Start Date	November 1
Contact Person	Daniel Gray
Phone/Email	dgray@placesforpeople.org

II. Project Summary

1. Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection.

This project provides housing and supports to 12 homeless families whose head of household is diagnosed with a serious mental illness. The project provides scattered-site rental assistance to families assisting them to live independently in the community. Families receive mental health case management along with access to a variety of services including primary healthcare, substance abuse treatment, employment services, and a variety of evidence-based individual and group therapies. Families must meet PfP's diagnostic criteria for serious mental illness, but otherwise we are prioritizing the most vulnerable families during intake. At this time, coordinated assessment has not been finalized, but we are open to collaboration on the process. HMIS is used to collect entry, exit, and re-certification data. PfP maintains 0% missing data.

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design.

No changes have been made recently or are anticipated. If the CoC were supportive, we would be willing to re-allocate some money from operations/support services to fund additional housing slots under leasing.

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet.

None

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	Yes
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	Yes
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	Yes
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

V. Budget

1. Do you expect that all funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? If not, what amount will not be spent and why.

PSH Programs Places for People Housing for the Future of Families

All funds are expended, except for a portion of leasing dollars, which for a variety of reasons can be challenging to fully draw down.

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project?

None

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Assessment of Services Needs		
Assistance with Moving Costs		
Case Management	Case manager, salary/fringe	42,234
Child Care		
Education Services		
Employment Assistance		
Food		
Housing/Counseling Services		
Legal Services		
Life Skills		
Mental Health Services		
Outpatient Health Services		
Outreach Services		
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		
Transportation		
Utility Deposits		
Total Services Assistance Requested		42,234

OPERATING BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Maintenance/Repair	Program Manager salary/fringe	55,687
Property Taxes & Insurance		
Replacment Reserve		
Building Security		
Electricity, Gas & Water		
Furniture		
Equipment (lease, buy)		
Total Operating Assistance Requested		55,687

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET								
Size of Units	# of Units		FMR		# of Months		Total Budget	
SRO		X		X	12	=		
0 Bedroom		X		X	12	=		
1 Bedroom		X		X	12	=		
2 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=		
3 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=		
4 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=		
5 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=		
6+ Bedrooms		X		X	12	=		
Total	12 (various sizes, not tied to current FMR)		$772.91		12	=	111,300	

SUMMARY BUDGET			
Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Requested	Match specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units	111,300		
Leased Structures			
Housing Relocation and Stabilization			
Short-term/Medium Term Assistance			
Long-term Rental Assistance			
Supportive Services	42,234		28,874
Operating	55,687		
HMIS			
Sub-Total Requested	209,221		
Admin (up to 7%)	7,044		
Total plus Admin Requested	216,265		
Sub-Total Cash Match		28,874	
Sub-total In-Kind Match		0	
TOTAL MATCH		28,874	
TOTAL BUDGET			238,095

Match requirements – 25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

VI. Performance

The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported.

1. Complete the column for households expected to be served and number who will exit during the 2015 CoC grant term

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	CoC 2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	13	13	14
Total Number of households who exited/will exit	1	1	2

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	83%	83%	47%
Housing Stability	100%	100%	98%
Cash Income – Stayers	68%	68%	70%
Non-Cash Benefits – Stayers	73%	73%	73%
Return to Shelter	0%	0%	**17***

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:

   - a variance between the HMIS generated reports and the agency provided reports and what steps are being taken to assure HMIS data completeness and accuracy for 2016.

   - below the system target for our CoC

Complete and return electronically to Rich LaPlume
Chair of the Rank and Review Committee
rich.laplume@depaulusa.org by (August 12, 2015 by 12:00pm)

4

Places For People Housing for the Futre...	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
Leavers destination was Permanent Housing- Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	5/6 83%		10 points
Leavers income- Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	3/6 50%		0 points
Stayes Income	15/22 68%		5 points
Leavers have increased Non-cash- Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	3/6 50%		0 points
Stayers have increased Non-cash	16/22 73%		0 points
Stable housing 6+ months being housed 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	27/27 100%		10 points
Has returned to shelter- Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	0.00		10 points
HMIS Data Performance-	100%		5 points
Active in COC- 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.			10 points both
Utilization Rate/Occupancy- 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%	101%		10 points
Organzational Strength- 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers	10pts		10 points
Budget- 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero		100% operatio nal and support	10 points
Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books		5% of leasing	10 points
Total	100		

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	DMH S+C Queen of Peace
Project Name	SCL TRA
Grant Number	MO0017L7E011306
Grant Start Date	10/1/2014
Contact Person	Judy Johnson
Phone/Email	Judy.Johnson@dmh.mo.gov

II. Project Summary

1. Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection. (See Attachment)

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design. NA

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet. NA

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	NA
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	NA
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	Yes
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

V. Budget

1. Do you expect that all funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? If not, what amount will not be spent and why. Yes

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project? No

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Assessment of Services Needs		0
Assistance with Moving Costs		0
Case Management		0
Child Care		0

1

Education Services		0
Employment Assistance		0
Food		0
Housing/Counseling Services		0
Legal Services		0
Life Skills		0
Mental Health Services		0
Outpatient Health Services		0
Outreach Services		0
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		0
Transportation		0
Utility Deposits		0
Total Services Assistance Requested		**0**

OPERATING BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	**Quantity Description (400 characters max)**	**CoC Assistance Requested**
Maintenance/Repair		0
Property Taxes & Insurance		0
Replacment Reserve		0
Building Security		0
Electricity, Gas & Water		0
Furniture		0
Equipment (lease, buy)		0
Total Operating Assistance Requested		**0**

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET								
Size of Units		**# of Units**		**FMR**		**# of Months**		**Total Budget**
SRO			X		X	12	=	
0 Bedroom			X		X	12	=	
1 Bedroom		60	X	633	X	12	=	$455,760.00
2 Bedrooms		22	X	816	X	12	=	$215,424.00
3 Bedrooms		52	X	1063	X	12	=	$663,312.00
4 Bedrooms		10	X	1206	X	12	=	$144,720.00
5 Bedrooms		2	X		X	12	=	$33,192.00
6+ Bedrooms			X		X	12	=	
Total		146					=	$1,512,504.00

2

SUMMARY BUDGET			
Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Requested	Match specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units	0		0
Leased Structures	0		0
Housing Relocation and Stabilization	0		0
Short-term/Medium Term Assistance	0		0
Long-term Rental Assistance	$1,512,504.00		$1,512,504.00
Supportive Services	0		0
Operating	0		0
HMIS	0		0
Sub-Total Requested	**$1,512,504.00**		
Admin (up to 7%)	$105,875.00		$105,875.00
Total plus Admin Requested	**$1,618,379.00**		
Sub-Total Cash Match			
Sub-total In-Kind Match			
TOTAL MATCH		In-Kind State Government	$405,000.00
TOTAL BUDGET			$2,023,379.00

Match requirements – 25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

VI. Performance

The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported.

1. Complete the column for households expected to be served and number who will exit during the 2015 CoC grant term

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	CoC 2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	385	385	385
Total Number of households who exited/will exit	32	32	32

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	31%	28%	47%
Housing Stability	99%	96%	98%
Cash Income – Stayers	69%	69%	70%
Non-Cash Benefits – Stayers	81%	81%	73%
Return to Shelter	0%	16%	**17***

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:
   - a variance between the HMIS generated reports and the agency provided reports and what steps are being taken to assure HMIS data completeness and accuracy for 2016.

   - below the system target for our CoC

The Department of Mental Health will continue to provide in-service training by way of HMIS to our Processing Centers on the importance of inputting and updating correct information into the HMIS Data Base for accurate Annual Progress Reports and better outcomes for the CoC. The grants the Department of Mental Health are responsible for start on different dates and in most cases are not in sync with the CoC calendar year therefore the numbers may be more or less than the system's target.

Applicant: Missouri Department of Mental Health 44-6000987
Project: SCL (STL City Shelter Plus Care Renewal QoP TRA)

This legacy Shelter Plus Care program is in its 22nd year of operation and provides permanent housing/long term rental assistance and support services to homeless individuals and families that are the hardest to reach and serve, and who tend to utilize the most community resources: individuals with serious mental illness, chronic substance and/or alcohol issues, co-occurring diagnoses and developmental disabilities. Many program participants have multiple health and mental health issues. They lack income and other resources necessary to obtain and maintain housing stability.

Eligible individuals and families are referred to a housing unit based on the HUD Prioritization Guidelines issued in July 2014. Those with the longest length of time homeless and highest service needs score are referred from the priority list first. Individuals referred currently come from agencies that will be participating in the St. Louis City CoC Coordinated Assessment process.

DMH contracts with Queen of Peace Center to assist eligible participants in finding suitable housing, assuring the units meet HUD HQS and to pay monthly rents. Program participants are encouraged to choose housing that gives them the best access to transportation, treatment services, medical care, social services, child care, employment, shopping, recreation and other supports. Queen of Peace Center is contracted by DMH to enter the HMIS data and assist in preparing CoC and HUD required reports.

Matching support services are provided by a network of provider agencies funded by DMH and other community based support service providers. Case managers assist individuals to apply for SSI, SSDI, VA and other benefits. Many case managers are SOAR trained. They assist in applying for mainstream benefits such as food stamps, Medicaid and TANF, and help clients connect with necessary medical services at Federally Qualified Healthcare Centers and Health Care Homes.

	DMH S+C QoP SCL-TRA	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
1	**Leavers destination was Permanent Housing-** Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	9/29 31%	0	0 points
2	**Leavers income-** Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	14/29 48%	0	0 points
2A	Stayes Income	246/356 69%	69%	10 points
3	**Leavers have increased Non-cash-** Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	13/29 45%	0	0 points
3A	Stayers have increased Non-cash	289/356 81%	81%	5 points
4	**Stable housing 6+ months being housed** 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	338/343 98%	10	10 points
5	**Has returned to shelter-** Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	0/94 0%	10	10 points
6	HMIS Data Performance-	99%	99%	less than 1% data missin 5pt.
7	**Active in COC-** 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.		10	10points both
8	**Utilization Rate/Occupancy-** 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%	122%	10	10 points
9	**Organzational Strength-** 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers		10	10 points
10	**Budget-** 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero		10	10 points
	Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books		na	10 points
	Total	100	60	

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	DMH S+C St. Patrick Center
Project Name	SCQ
Grant Number	MO0173L7E011302
Grant Start Date	10/1/2014
Contact Person	Judy Johnson
Phone/Email	Judy.Johnson@dmh.mo.gov

II. Project Summary

1. Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection. (See Attachment)

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design. NA

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet. NA

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	NA
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	NA
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	Yes
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

V. Budget

1. Do you expect that all funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? If not, what amount will not be spent and why. Yes

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project? No

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Assessment of Services Needs		0
Assistance with Moving Costs		0
Case Management		0
Child Care		0

Education Services		0
Employment Assistance		0
Food		0
Housing/Counseling Services		0
Legal Services		0
Life Skills		0
Mental Health Services		0
Outpatient Health Services		0
Outreach Services		0
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		0
Transportation		0
Utility Deposits		0
	Total Services Assistance Requested	0

OPERATING BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Maintenance/Repair		0
Property Taxes & Insurance		0
Replacment Reserve		0
Building Security		0
Electricity, Gas & Water		0
Furniture		0
Equipment (lease, buy)		0
	Total Operating Assistance Requested	0

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET						
Size of Units	# of Units		FMR		# of Months	Total Budget
SRO		X		X	12	=
0 Bedroom	7	X	533	X	12	= $44,772.00
1 Bedroom	63	X	633	X	12	= $478,548.00
2 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=
3 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=
4 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=
5 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=
6+ Bedrooms		X		X	12	=
Total	70					= $523,320.00

2

SUMMARY BUDGET			
Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Requested	Match specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units	0		0
Leased Structures	0		0
Housing Relocation and Stabilization	0		0
Short-term/Medium Term Assistance	0		0
Long-term Rental Assistance	$523,320.00		$523,320.00
Supportive Services	0		0
Operating	0		0
HMIS	0		0
Sub-Total Requested	$523,320.00		
Admin (up to 7%)	$36,632.00		$36,632.00
Total plus Admin Requested	$559,952.00		
Sub-Total Cash Match			
Sub-total In-Kind Match			
TOTAL MATCH		In-Kind State Government	$140,000.00
TOTAL BUDGET			$699,952.00

Match requirements – 25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

VI. Performance

The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported.

1. Complete the column for households expected to be served and number who will exit during the 2015 CoC grant term

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	CoC 2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	112	112	112
Total Number of households who exited/will exit	5	5	5

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	0%	0%	47%
Housing Stability	99%	96%	98%
Cash Income – Stayers	64%	64%	70%
Non-Cash Benefits – Stayers	75%	75%	73%
Return to Shelter	0%	0%	17*

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:

  - a variance between the HMIS generated reports and the agency provided reports and what steps are being taken to assure HMIS data completeness and accuracy for 2016.

  - below the system target for our CoC

The Department of Mental Health will continue to provide in-service training by way of HMIS to our Processing Centers on the importance of inputting and updating correct information into the HMIS Data Base for accurate Annual Progress Reports and better outcomes for the CoC. The grants the Department of Mental Health are responsible for start on different dates and in most cases are not in sync with the CoC calendar year therefore the numbers may be more or less than the system's target.

Applicant: Missouri Department of Mental Health 44-6000987
Project: SCQ (STL City Shelter Plus Care Renewal Chronic-70)

This legacy Shelter Plus Care program is in its 8th year of operation and provides permanent housing/long term rental assistance and support services to chronically homeless individuals that are the hardest to reach and serve, and who tend to utilize the most community resources: individuals with serious mental illness, chronic substance and/or alcohol issues, co-occurring diagnoses and developmental disabilities. Many program participants have multiple health and mental health issues. They lack income and other resources necessary to obtain and maintain housing stability.

Eligible individuals are referred to a housing unit based on the HUD Prioritization Guidelines issued in July 2014. Those with the longest length of time homeless and highest service needs score are referred from the priority list first. Individuals referred currently come from agencies that will be participating in the St. Louis City CoC Coordinated Assessment process.

DMH contracts with St. Patrick Center to assist eligible participants in finding suitable housing, assuring the units meet HUD HQS and to pay monthly rents. Program participants are encouraged to choose housing that gives them the best access to transportation, treatment services, medical care, social services, child care, employment, shopping, recreation and other supports. St. Patrick Center is contracted by DMH to enter the HMIS data and assist in preparing CoC and HUD required reports.

Matching support services are provided by a network of provider agencies funded by DMH and other community based support service providers. Case managers assist individuals to apply for SSI, SSDI, VA and other benefits. Many case managers are SOAR trained. They assist in applying for mainstream benefits such as food stamps, Medicaid and TANF, and help clients connect with necessary medical services at Federally Qualified Healthcare Centers and Health Care Homes.

	DMH S+C SPC SCQ	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
1	Leavers destination was Permanent Housing- Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	0/5 0%	0	0 points
2	Leavers income- Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	5/5 100%	10	10 points
2A	Stayers Income	68/107 64%	64%	05 points
3	Leavers have increased Non-cash- Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	1/5 20%	0	0 points
3A	Stayers have increased Non-cash	80/107 75%	75%	0 points
4	Stable housing 6+ months being housed 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	103/104 99%	99%	10 points
5	Has returned to shelter- Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	0/10 0%	10	10 points
6	HMIS Data Performance-	99%	99%	less than 1% data missin 5pt
7	Active in COC- 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.		10	10 points both
8	Utilization Rate/Occupancy- 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%	109%	10	10 points
9	Organzational Strength- 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers		10	10 points
10	Budget- 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero		10	10 points
	Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books		0	10 points
	Total	95	70	

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	DMH S+C St. Patrick Center
Project Name	SCY TRA
Grant Number	MO0018L7E011306
Grant Start Date	7/1/2014
Contact Person	Judy Johnson
Phone/Email	Judy.Johnson@dmh.mo.gov

II. Project Summary

1. Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection. (See Attachment)

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design. NA

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet. NA

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	NA
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	NA
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	Yes
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

V. Budget

1. Do you expect that all funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? If not, what amount will not be spent and why. Yes

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project? No

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Assessment of Services Needs		0
Assistance with Moving Costs		0
Case Management		0
Child Care		0

Education Services		0
Employment Assistance		0
Food		0
Housing/Counseling Services		0
Legal Services		0
Life Skills		0
Mental Health Services		0
Outpatient Health Services		0
Outreach Services		0
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		0
Transportation		0
Utility Deposits		0
Total Services Assistance Requested		**0**

OPERATING BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	**Quantity Description (400 characters max)**	**CoC Assistance Requested**
Maintenance/Repair		0
Property Taxes & Insurance		0
Replacment Reserve		0
Building Security		0
Electricity, Gas & Water		0
Furniture		0
Equipment (lease, buy)		0
Total Operating Assistance Requested		**0**

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET							
Size of Units		**# of Units**		**FMR**		**# of Months**	**Total Budget**
SRO			X		X	12	=
0 Bedroom			X		X	12	=
1 Bedroom		16	X	633	X	12	= $121,536.00
2 Bedrooms		12	X	816	X	12	= $117,504.00
3 Bedrooms		7	X	1063	X	12	= $89,292.00
4 Bedrooms		4	X	1206	X	12	= $57,888.00
5 Bedrooms			X		X	12	=
6+ Bedrooms			X		X	12	=
Total		39					= $386,220.00

SUMMARY BUDGET			
Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Requested	Match specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units	0		0
Leased Structures	0		0
Housing Relocation and Stabilization	0		0
Short-term/Medium Term Assistance	0		0
Long-term Rental Assistance	$386,220.00		$386,220.00
Supportive Services	0		0
Operating	0		0
HMIS	0		0
Sub-Total Requested	$386,220.00		
Admin (up to 7%)	$27,035.00		$27,035.00
Total plus Admin Requested	$413,255.00		
Sub-Total Cash Match			
Sub-total In-Kind Match			
TOTAL MATCH		In-Kind State Government	$103,051.00
TOTAL BUDGET			$516,306.00

Match requirements – 25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

Applicant: Missouri Department of Mental Health 44-6000987
Project: SCY (STL City Shelter Plus Care Renewal SPC)

This legacy Shelter Plus Care program is in its 14th year of operation and provides permanent housing/long term rental assistance and support services to homeless individuals and families that are the hardest to reach and serve, and who tend to utilize the most community resources: individuals with serious mental illness, chronic substance and/or alcohol issues, co-occurring diagnoses and developmental disabilities. Many program participants have multiple health and mental health issues. They lack income and other resources necessary to obtain and maintain housing stability.

Eligible individuals and families are referred to a housing unit based on the HUD Prioritization Guidelines issued in July 2014. Those with the longest length of time homeless and highest service needs score are referred from the priority list first. Individuals referred currently come from agencies that will be participating in the St. Louis City CoC Coordinated Assessment process.

DMH contracts with St. Patrick Center to assist eligible participants in finding suitable housing, assuring the units meet HUD HQS and to pay monthly rents. Program participants are encouraged to choose housing that gives them the best access to transportation, treatment services, medical care, social services, child care, employment, shopping, recreation and other supports. St.Patrick Center is contracted by DMH to enter the HMIS data and assist in preparing CoC and HUD required reports.

Matching support services are provided by a network of provider agencies funded by DMH and other community based support service providers. Case managers assist individuals to apply for SSI, SSDI, VA and other benefits. Many case managers are SOAR trained. They assist in applying for mainstream benefits such as food stamps, Medicaid and TANF, and help clients connect with necessary medical services at Federally Qualified Healthcare Centers and Health Care Homes.

VI. Performance
The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported.

1. Complete the column for households expected to be served and number who will exit during the 2015 CoC grant term

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	CoC 2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	86	86	86
Total Number of households who exited/will exit	7	7	7

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	71%	71%	47%
Housing Stability	100%	98%	98%
Cash Income – Stayers	68%	68%	70%
Non-Cash Benefits – Stayers	62%	62%	73%
Return to Shelter	6%	0%	17*

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:
   - a variance between the HMIS generated reports and the agency provided reports and what steps are being taken to assure HMIS data completeness and accuracy for 2016.

   - below the system target for our CoC

The Department of Mental Health will continue to provide in-service training by way of HMIS to our Processing Centers on the importance of inputting and updating correct information into the HMIS Data Base for accurate Annual Progress Reports and better outcomes for the CoC. The grants the Department of Mental Health are responsible for start on different dates and in most cases are not in sync with the CoC calendar year therefore the numbers may be more or less than the system's target.

	DMH S+C SPC SCY TRA	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
1	**Leavers destination was Permanent Housing-** Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	5/7 71%	10	10 points
2	**Leavers income-** Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	4/7 57%	0	0 points
2A	Stayers Income	54/79 68%	68%	0/10 points
3	**Leavers have increased Non-cash-** Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	3/7 43%	0	0 points
3A	Stayers have increased Non-cash	49/79 62%	62%	0 points
4	**Stable housing 6+ months being housed** 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	103/104 99%	10	10 points
5	**Has returned to shelter-** Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	1/16 6%	5	10 KA 5 points
6	HMIS Data Performance-	98%	98%	2% data missing 5 pts
7	**Active in COC-** 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.		10	10 points both
8	**Utilization Rate/Occupancy-** 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%	99%	10	10 points
9	**Organzational Strength-** 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers		10	10 points
10	**Budget-** 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero		10	10 points
	Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books		0	10 points
	Total	95	65	

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency Name and Grant Information

Agency Name	St. Patrick Center
Project Name	Rosati ACT
Grant Number	MO0135L7E011304
Grant Start Date	October 1, 2015
Contact Person	Nora Boland
Phone/Email	nboland@stpatrickcenter.org

II. Project Summary

1. The primary purpose of Rosati ACT (Assertive Community Treatment) program is to stabilize formerly homeless individuals who have mental illness and/or substance abuse issues. The program is a permanent supportive housing program. The Rosati Act is unique because participants receive assistance in all areas of life with a team approach. The primary areas are mental health, substance abuse, and financial stability.

III. Program Changes

1. The most significant change to the Rosati ACT program is the addition of 15 women formally with the Shalom House that are now receiving supportive service as well as rental assistance from the Rosati Act program. We did request changes in line items to move areas of excess to areas of need but keeping the total grant request the same. The population has remained the same with the same criteria for admission but the total number served has gone from 42 to 57.

2. Rosati ACT grant requested line item changes to include hiring of one extra mental health case manager and moving unused funds on one line to other line items to cover things needed to support the additional tenants and additional mileage.

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirements	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	No
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	No
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	Yes
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

V.   Budget

1.   It is unclear what the total cost and spending will be with the recent addition of 15 new clients but we do believe that the Rosati ACT will spend the bulk of the new proposed CoC grant. This is including the amendment of changing line items within the budget. The only line item that will not be spent down is the mental health nurse contract, during this past year there were fewer medical/psychiatric crisis issues and the need for the nurse was lower. We have scheduled extra nurses for the month of September to up-date all the tenant's physicals and current medication records to include the additional 15's initial nursing assessments.

2.   No, we already reallocated funds due to the addition of 15 Shalom clients.

3.   Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	**Quantity Description (400 character max)**	**CoC Assistance Required**
Assessment of Service Needs		
Assistance with Moving Costs		
Case Management	5 case-managers salaries and taxes and benefits, to include substance abuse counselor, financial stability and mental health counselors and the ACT manager	Salaries $87,600 Taxes and benefits $17,520 Total $105,120
Child Care		
Education Services		
Employment Assistance		
Food		
Housing/Counseling Services		
Legal Services		
Life Skills		
Mental Health Services	Contract with StaffLink for psychiatric services	$33,000
Outpatient Health Services		
Outreach Services		
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		
Transportation	Bus passes, cab fare and mileage for 57 residents	$15,000
Utility Deposits		
Total Services Assistance Requested		**$153,120**

OPERATING BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	**Quantity Description (400 characters)**	**CoC Assistance Requested**
Maintenance/Repairs	To include salaries of building personnel and program support and, taxes and benefits	Salaries $61,575 Taxes and benefits 18,735 Supplies 17,930

		Total	$98,240
Property Taxes & Insurance	Building insurance; liability insurance; worker compensation; annual audit.		$4,000
Replacement Reserve			
Building Security			
Electricity, Gas & Water	Includes utility allowance		$9,000
Furniture	12 vouchers for $740.00		$6,666
Supplies	Move in kits, incentives		$1,875
Equipment (lease,buy)			
		Total Operating Assistance Requested	**$119,781**

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET									
Size of Units		**# of Units**		**FMR**		**# of Months**		**Total Budget**	
SRO		0	X	0	X	0	=		
0 Bedroom		41	X	$533	X	12	=	$ 262,230	
1 Bedroom		0	X	$633	X	12	=		
2 Bedrooms		0	X		X	12	=		
3 Bedrooms		0	X		X	12	=		
4 Bedrooms		0	X		X	12	=		
5 Bedrooms		0	X		X	12	=		
6 Bedrooms		0	X		X	12	=		
Total								$262,230	

SUMMARY BUDGET			
Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Required	Match Specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units	262,230		262,230
Leased Structures	-		
Housing Relocation and Stabilization	-		
Long-term Rental Assistance			
Supportive Services	153,120	51,040 Cash Match/donations	204,160
Operating	119,781	39,927 Cash Match/donations	159,708
HMIS	-		
Sub-total Requested	535,131		
Admin (up to 7%)	37,459	12,486 Cash Match/donations	49,945
Total plus Admin Requested	669,925		
Sub-total Cash Match		$103,453	
Sub-total In-Kind Match		0	
Total Match		$103,453	
Total Budget			676,043

Match requirements-25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

VI.   Performance

The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported

1. Complete the column for household expected to be served and number who will exit during 2015 CoC grant term.

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	51	51	68
Total Number of households who will exited/will exit	10	11	11

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	30%	50%	63%
Housing Stability	95%	76%	83%
Cash Income-Stayers	78%	95%	90%
Non-Cash Benefits-Stayers	44%	100%	90%
Return to Shelter	6%	25%	25%

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:

- In the past most HMIS was used to record entry and discharge and the ECM was used to update tenant's income and other changes, moving forward all pertinent changes will be recorded into the Compass Rosie system.

- The targets recorded above reflect the addition of the 15 new tenants for the grant year. Some of the percentages are dropped because we expect that some of the Shalom client will leave the program due to the changes in services and expectations.

	St. Pats Rosati	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
1	Leavers destination was Permanent Housing- Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	3/10 30%		5 KA 0points
2	Leavers income- Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	9/10 90%		10 points
2A	Stayes Income	32/41 78%		10points
3	Leavers have increased Non-cash- Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	4/10 40%		10points
3A	Stayers have increased Non-cash	18/41 44%		10 points
4	Stable housing 6+ months being housed 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	27/27 100%		10points
5	Has returned to shelter- Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	1/16 6%		0points 10points KA
6	HMIS Data Performance-		99%	1% missing data 5points
7	Active in COC- 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.			10points both
8	Utilization Rate/Occupancy- 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%		66%	5 KA 0points
9	Organzational Strength- 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers			10points
10	Budget- 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero			0points
	Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books			0points
	Total	90		

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	DMH S+C Queen of Peace
Project Name	SZC TRA
Grant Number	MO0009L7E011301
Grant Start Date	10/1/2014
Contact Person	Judy Johnson
Phone/Email	Judy.Johnson@dmh.mo.gov

II. Project Summary

1. Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection. (See Attachment)

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design. NA

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet. NA

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	NA
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	NA
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	Yes
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

V. Budget

1. Do you expect that all funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? If not, what amount will not be spent and why. Yes

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project? No

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Assessment of Services Needs		0
Assistance with Moving Costs		0
Case Management		0
Child Care		0

1

Education Services		0
Employment Assistance		0
Food		0
Housing/Counseling Services		0
Legal Services		0
Life Skills		0
Mental Health Services		0
Outpatient Health Services		0
Outreach Services		0
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		0
Transportation		0
Utility Deposits		0
Total Services Assistance Requested		**0**

OPERATING BUDGET

Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Maintenance/Repair		0
Property Taxes & Insurance		0
Replacment Reserve		0
Building Security		0
Electricity, Gas & Water		0
Furniture		0
Equipment (lease, buy)		0
Total Operating Assistance Requested		**0**

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET

Size of Units	# of Units		FMR		# of Months		Total Budget
SRO		X		X	12	=	
0 Bedroom		X	533	X	12	=	
1 Bedroom	4	X	633	X	12	=	$30,384.00
2 Bedrooms	11	X	816	X	12	=	$107,712.00
3 Bedrooms	6	X	1063	X	12	=	$76,536.00
4 Bedrooms	1	X	1206	X	12	=	$14,472.00
5 Bedrooms	1	X	1387	X	12	=	$16,644.00
6+ Bedrooms		X		X	12	=	
Total	23					=	$245,748.00

SUMMARY BUDGET			
Eligible Costs	CoC Assistance Requested	Match specify cash, in-kind, amount & source	Total
Leased Units	0		0
Leased Structures	0		0
Housing Relocation and Stabilization	0		0
Short-term/Medium Term Assistance	0		0
Long-term Rental Assistance	$245,748.00		$245,748.00
Supportive Services	0		0
Operating	0		0
HMIS	0		0
Sub-Total Requested	$245,748.00		
Admin (up to 7%)	$17,202.00		$17,202.00
Total plus Admin Requested	$262,950.00		
Sub-Total Cash Match			
Sub-total In-Kind Match			
TOTAL MATCH		In-Kind State Government	$87,000.00
TOTAL BUDGET			$349,950.00

Match requirements – 25% overall match of total grant minus Leasing costs. Matching funds must be used on eligible CoC program costs. NOTE: Program income, including client rent or occupancy charges, CANNOT be used as match.

VI. Performance

The tables below should be completed using both HMIS data and agency reported.

1. Complete the column for households expected to be served and number who will exit during the 2015 CoC grant term

Households	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	CoC 2015 Grant Year (Projected)
Total Number of households	47	47	47
Total Number of households who exited/will exit	4	4	4

Data Element	2014 Calendar Year HMIS Generated	2014 Calendar Year Agency Reported	2015 System Target
Destination Permanent Housing	0%	0%	47%
Housing Stability	100%	95%	98%
Cash Income – Stayers	72%	72%	70%
Non-Cash Benefits – Stayers	74%	74%	73%
Return to Shelter	0%	0%	17*

2. Provide an explanation as well as specific steps that will be taken to improve your program's outcomes if your program has/is:

   - a variance between the HMIS generated reports and the agency provided reports and what steps are being taken to assure HMIS data completeness and accuracy for 2016.

   - below the system target for our CoC

The Department of Mental Health will continue to provide in-service training by way of HMIS to our Processing Centers on the importance of inputting and updating correct information into the HMIS Data Base for accurate Annual Progress Reports and better outcomes for the CoC. The grants the Department of Mental Health are responsible for start on different dates and in most cases are not in sync with the CoC calendar year therefore the numbers may be more or less than the system's target.

Applicant: Missouri Department of Mental Health 44-6000987
Project: SZC (STL City Shelter Plus Care Renewal QoP Families)

This legacy Shelter Plus Care program is in its 6th year of operation and provides permanent housing/long term rental assistance and support services to homeless individuals and families that are the hardest to reach and serve, and who tend to utilize the most community resources: individuals with serious mental illness, chronic substance and/or alcohol issues, co-occurring diagnoses and developmental disabilities. Many program participants have multiple health and mental health issues. They lack income and other resources necessary to obtain and maintain housing stability.

Eligible individuals and families are referred to a housing unit based on the HUD Prioritization Guidelines issued in July 2014. Those with the longest length of time homeless and highest service needs score are referred from the priority list first. Individuals referred currently come from agencies that will be participating in the St. Louis City CoC Coordinated Assessment process.

DMH contracts with Queen of Peace Center to assist eligible participants in finding suitable housing, assuring the units meet HUD HQS and to pay monthly rents. Program participants are encouraged to choose housing that gives them the best access to transportation, treatment services, medical care, social services, child care, employment, shopping, recreation and other supports. Queen of Peace Center is contracted by DMH to enter the HMIS data and assist in preparing CoC and HUD required reports.

Matching support services are provided by a network of provider agencies funded by DMH and other community based support service providers. Case managers assist individuals to apply for SSI, SSDI, VA and other benefits. Many case managers are SOAR trained. They assist in applying for mainstream benefits such as food stamps, Medicaid and TANF, and help clients connect with necessary medical services at Federally Qualified Healthcare Centers and Health Care Homes.

DMH S+C QoP SZC TRA	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
1 Leavers destination was Permanent Housing- Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	0/4 0%	0	0 points
2 Leavers income- Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	1/4 25%	0	0 points
2A Stayes Income	31/43 72%	72%	5 points
3 Leavers have increased Non-cash- Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	1/4 25%	25%	0 points
3A Stayers have increased Non-cash	32/43 74%	74%	0 points
4 Stable housing 6+ months being housed 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	45/45 100%	10	10 points
5 Has returned to shelter- Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	0/4 0%	10	10 points
6 HMIS Data Performance-	99%	99%	less than 1% data missin 5pts
7 Active in COC- 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.		10 both	10 points
8 Utilization Rate/Occupancy- 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%	103%	10	10 points
9 Organzational Strength- 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers		10	10 points
10 Budget- 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero		10	10 points
Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books		0	10 points
Total	90	60	

City of St. Louis CoC 2015 Renewal Review

I. Agency and Grant Information

Agency Name	DMH S+C Queen of Peace
Project Name	SCS SRA
Grant Number	MO0016L7E011306
Grant Start Date	10/1/2014
Contact Person	Judy Johnson
Phone/Email	Judy.Johnson@dmh.mo.gov

II. Project Summary

1. Provide a brief summary of your project, including purpose, design and target population. Include steps to prioritizing clients according to the CoC's priority list for PSH housing. Also describe how you will be coordinating placements in your housing programs utilizing the community's new coordinated assessment process. Describe your use of HMIS in data collection. (See Attachment)

III. Program Changes

1. Describe any significant changes to your program during the past year or planned for the upcoming grant term, including changes in budget line items, population served, numbers served, program design. NA

2. Have you executed any grant amendments with the City of St. Louis for this project in the past year (or do you have any unexecuted grant amendment requests)? If yes, please submit as part of this renewal packet. NA

IV. HEARTH Compliance

HEARTH Requirement	YES or NO
Do you have consumer representation on your Board?	NA
If you receive Leasing dollars, do you master lease units?	NA
If you receive Leasing or Rental Assistance dollars, do you comply with the rent reasonableness requirements?	Yes
Are you conducting an Annual Service Needs Assessment for all participants who are enrolled for more than one year?	Yes
Do you comply with Housing Quality Standards (HQS)?	Yes

V. Budget

1. Do you expect that all funds in your 2014 CoC grant will be expended? If not, what amount will not be spent and why. Yes

2. Is there any money in this grant that would be available for reallocation to a new project? No

3. Complete the following 2015 CoC budget tables for your CoC program. QUANTITY DESCRIPTIONS MUST BE ENTERED.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES BUDGET		
Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Assessment of Services Needs		0
Assistance with Moving Costs		0
Case Management		0
Child Care		0
		0

1

Education Services		0
Employment Assistance		0
Food		0
Housing/Counseling Services		0
Legal Services		0
Life Skills		0
Mental Health Services		0
Outpatient Health Services		0
Outreach Services		0
Substance Abuse Treatment Services		0
Transportation		0
Utility Deposits		0
		0
	Total Services Assistance Requested	0

OPERATING BUDGET

Eligible Costs	Quantity Description (400 characters max)	CoC Assistance Requested
Maintenance/Repair		0
Property Taxes & Insurance		0
Replacment Reserve		0
Building Security		0
Electricity, Gas & Water		0
Furniture		0
Equipment (lease, buy)		0
		0
	Total Operating Assistance Requested	0

LEASING/LONG-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE BUDGET

Size of Units	# of Units		FMR		# of Months		Total Budget
SRO		X		X	12	=	
0 Bedroom	20	X	533	X	12	=	$127,920.00
1 Bedroom	25	X	633	X	12	=	$189,900.00
2 Bedrooms	14	X	816	X	12	=	$137,088.00
3 Bedrooms	16	X	1063	X	12	=	$204,096.00
4 Bedrooms	2	X	1206	X	12	=	$28,944.00
5 Bedrooms		X		X	12	=	
6+ Bedrooms		X		X	12	=	
Total	77					=	
						=	$687,948.00

Applicant: Missouri Department of Mental Health 44-6000987
Project: SCS (STL City Shelter Plus Care Renewal QoP SRA)

This legacy Shelter Plus Care program is in its 21st year of operation and provides permanent housing/long term rental assistance and support services to homeless individuals and families that are the hardest to reach and serve, and who tend to utilize the most community resources: individuals with serious mental illness, chronic substance and/or alcohol issues, co-occurring diagnoses and developmental disabilities. Many program participants have multiple health and mental health issues. They lack income and other resources necessary to obtain and maintain housing stability.

Eligible individuals and families are referred to a housing unit based on the HUD Prioritization Guidelines issued in July 2014. Those with the longest length of time homeless and highest service needs score are referred from the priority list first. Individuals referred currently come from agencies that will be participating in the St. Louis City CoC Coordinated Assessment process.

DMH contracts with Queen of Peace Center to assist eligible participants in finding suitable housing, assuring the units meet HUD HQS and to pay monthly rents. Program participants are encouraged to choose housing that gives them the best access to transportation, treatment services, medical care, social services, child care, employment, shopping, recreation and other supports. Queen of Peace Center is contracted by DMH to enter the HMIS data and assist in preparing CoC and HUD required reports.

Matching support services are provided by a network of provider agencies funded by DMH and other community based support service providers. Case managers assist individuals to apply for SSI, SSDI, VA and other benefits. Many case managers are SOAR trained. They assist in applying for mainstream benefits such as food stamps, Medicaid and TANF, and help clients connect with necessary medical services at Federally Qualified Healthcare Centers and Health Care Homes.

DMH S+C QoP SCS SRA	HMIS #	Agency#	Comments
1	**Leavers destination was Permanent Housing-** Indivduals who exited the program and moved into permanent housing 10 points for 56% or higher 5 points for 55% -47% zero for below 47% .	18/67 27%	0	0 points
2	**Leavers income-** Individuals who exited program have increased or maintained their income. 10 points for 76% or higher 5 points for 70% or less zero for below 69%	43/67 64%	0	0 points
2A	Stayes Income	68/102 67%	67%	0 points
3	**Leavers have increased Non-cash-** Benefits-Individuals who exited the programs have obtained all possibile benefits elligible for themselve. 83% or higher 10 pts. 82-80% 5pts. Below 80% zero.	38/67 57%	0	0 points
3A	Stayers have increased Non-cash	79/102 77%	77%	0 points
4	**Stable housing 6+ months being housed** 10 points for 95% or higher 5 points 94% or lower	149/155 96%	10	10. points
5	**Has returned to shelter-** Individuals who exit the programs have returned to shelter 10 ponts for 0-5% 5 points for 6-13% zero 13% and above.	3/43 7%	5	10 10 points
6	**HMIS Data Performance-**	99%	99%	less than 1% data missing 5 points
7	**Active in COC-** 10 points for 80% or greater in attendance at general metings is a participant on the board or a committee. 5 points for less than 80% participation. Zero points if organization is not active on a committee.		10	10 points both
8	**Utilization Rate/Occupancy-** 10 points for 95% utilization 5 points for 90% Zero below 90%	81%	10	0 points
9	**Organzational Strength-** 10 points for 6 or more years of experience of key staff who administer the grant, 5points for 5-2yrs, zero points for 1 or less. Key staff= Program director, case managers, intake workers		10	10 points
10	**Budget-** 10 points for spending down 100%-95% HUD allotted dollars and meets match . 5 points 95%-90%-5pts. Below 90%-zero		10	10 points
	Budget leasing dollars percentage of leasing dollars left on the books		0	10 points
Total	75	45	